Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute
ISSN: 0378-1143

IMPACT OF IT SERVICE QUALITY ON CLIENT RETENTION RATES ACROSS INDUSTRIES

Shivendra Pratap Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Lucknow Public College of Professional Studies, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rahul Kumar Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Lucknow Public College of Professional Studies, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of IT service quality on client retention rates across various industries, leveraging data from a survey of 300 respondents. Key sectors analyzed include Banking and Financial Services, Retail and E-commerce, Telecommunications, Hospitality and Tourism, and Healthcare. The research identifies significant variations in client perceptions of IT service quality dimensions such as reliability, response time, customization, and communication.

The analysis highlights that industries like Healthcare and Banking and Financial Services consistently rate IT services higher in critical areas, emphasizing the importance of tailored solutions, efficient response times, and clear communication in fostering client loyalty. Conversely, Retail and E-commerce report lower satisfaction levels, particularly in response time and communication, indicating potential gaps in service delivery.

ANOVA results reveal significant differences in dimensions such as reliability ($p = 0.000$), response time ($p = 0.000$), and customization ($p = 0.000$), suggesting that these factors are critical determinants of client retention. Meanwhile, dimensions such as uptime, data security, and issue resolution show no significant differences across industries, indicating uniform satisfaction in these areas.

The findings underscore the need for industry-specific strategies to enhance IT service quality. Retail, in particular, can benefit from focused improvements in communication and responsiveness to align with the higher standards reported in Healthcare and Banking. This research provides actionable insights for IT service providers to prioritize client-centric approaches, thereby improving retention rates and fostering long-term relationships across diverse industries. The study concludes that while baseline IT services meet expectations, addressing disparities in critical dimensions is essential for maintaining competitive advantage and enhancing client satisfaction.

INTRODUCTION

The quality of IT services has become a decisive factor in client retention across various industries, reflecting its influence on operational efficiency, customer satisfaction, and competitive advantage. Bitner et al. (1990) explored the impact of prompt service recovery and technical issue resolution on client retention, findings that align with this study's observations on responsiveness. This research paper explores the relationship between IT service quality and client retention rates across industries such as Banking and Financial Services, Retail and E-commerce, Telecommunications, Healthcare, and Hospitality and

Tourism. By analyzing data from 300 respondents, the study examines critical dimensions of IT services, including reliability, customization, responsiveness, and communication, to uncover significant trends and gaps in service delivery. Zeithaml et al. (1996) identified reliability and responsiveness as core dimensions of service quality, emphasizing their role in fostering customer trust and loyalty in service-based industries.

Parasuraman et al. (1988) introduced the SERVQUAL model, highlighting reliability, assurance, and empathy as critical factors impacting perceived service quality, which resonate with the findings of this research. Data analysis revealed that industries like Banking and Financial Services and Healthcare demonstrate the highest reliance on IT services, with Banking contributing 28.7% and Healthcare reporting significant satisfaction with tailored solutions. Conversely, Retail and E-commerce, while contributing 26% to the dataset, face challenges in communication and responsiveness, highlighting areas for improvement. ANOVA results underscored significant variability in dimensions like reliability ($p = 0.000$) and communication ($p = 0.000$), while other dimensions such as uptime and data security were perceived uniformly across industries.

Rust and Oliver (1994) examined the linkage between service quality and customer satisfaction, concluding that tailored services play a pivotal role in meeting diverse client expectations. The importance of industry-specific strategies emerges as a critical finding, emphasizing the need to address disparities in key service quality factors to enhance client retention. Grönroos (2001) emphasized the importance of communication and customization in IT services for maintaining client satisfaction and adapting to dynamic industry needs. The insights derived from this study are valuable for IT service providers aiming to align their offerings with industry-specific needs, thereby strengthening long-term partnerships and fostering loyalty.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Uptime reliability is a key driver of customer satisfaction, with consistent perceptions across industries indicating adherence to baseline standards (Stein & Smith, 1995). Engagement and collaboration play a critical role in loyalty, with Banking and Healthcare sectors outperforming Retail in strategic partnerships (Kotler & Keller, 2012). Response time significantly impacts client satisfaction, with Healthcare leading in quick responsiveness (Anderson & Srinivasan, 2003). While adaptability in IT solutions is uniformly perceived across industries (Green et al., 2008), cross-sector variability highlights differing service quality expectations, especially in Healthcare and Retail (Porter, 1980). Consumer-centric IT approaches, emphasizing tailored communication, enhance retention (Sheth et al., 2000), and aligning IT strategies with business goals boosts satisfaction in sectors like Healthcare (Johnson & Scholes, 2002). Seamless integration of IT systems, notably in Banking and Healthcare, drives higher satisfaction (Laudon & Laudon, 2009), while data analytics underpins personalized IT solutions, fostering client retention (McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2012). Effective service recovery mechanisms are essential, with Retail lagging in responsiveness (Berry et al., 1990). Technology adoption influences client perceptions, with Banking demonstrating stronger implementation strategies (Venkatesh et al., 2003), and trust in data security remains uniformly robust across industries (Mayer et al., 1995). Superior IT services confer a competitive edge, as seen in Healthcare's high ratings (Heskett et al., 1994), while Retail's lower satisfaction in E-commerce highlights the need for improvement (Chaffey et al., 2019). Finally, global trends emphasize the necessity for industry-specific enhancements to meet international standards (Davenport & Prusak, 1998).

Customer-centric IT service delivery is critical for enhancing retention, with industries like Healthcare demonstrating higher satisfaction due to tailored IT solutions (Parasuraman et al., 1991). Superior IT support quality and real-time responsiveness drive loyalty, as seen in Healthcare and Telecommunications (Hoffman & Bateson, 1997; Zeithaml & Bitner, 2000). Effective communication strategies and CRM systems further improve retention, though Retail lags in these areas (Mohr & Nevin, 1990; Peppers & Rogers, 1993). Customization, service recovery, and innovation are pivotal, with Healthcare excelling in tailored solutions and Retail showing gaps in recovery efforts (Schneider & Bowen, 1995; Smith et al., 1999). Technology scalability and cost efficiency also bolster retention, as evidenced in Banking and Telecommunications (Dabholkar et al., 1996; Rust & Chung, 2006). Industries with strong integration and feedback mechanisms, such as Healthcare, achieve superior client satisfaction (Johnson & Scholes, 2011; Gronroos, 1998). Trust, security, and compliance serve as foundational baselines across sectors (Boehm, 1991). Dynamic IT strategies and leadership ensure adaptability to evolving needs, with Telecommunications leveraging innovation to maintain competitiveness (Mintzberg et al., 2005; Teece et al., 1997). Finally, global trends emphasize benchmarking and adoption of advanced IT practices, with Banking and Healthcare showcasing high retention due to consistent reliability and engagement (Kaplan & Norton, 2004; Davenport & Harris, 2007).

The domain of IT service quality has evolved with increasing focus on its multi-dimensional impact on client satisfaction and organizational performance. Fitzsimmons and Fitzsimmons (2001) identified the integration of technology into service delivery as critical for ensuring consistency and reliability. Oliver (1999) emphasized the importance of expectation management, noting that IT services that align with client expectations improve satisfaction and retention. Reichheld and Schefter (2000) highlighted that trust in IT services, particularly in secure transactions, is a pivotal factor in customer loyalty. Johnston (1995) stressed the significance of speed in IT service recovery as a determinant of client satisfaction, while Lovelock and Wirtz (2007) explored the influence of employee competence in IT service delivery.

Leonard-Barton (1992) introduced the concept of core capabilities in IT services, showing their importance in sustaining competitive advantage. Bharadwaj (2000) demonstrated that IT-enabled organizational capabilities enhance responsiveness to market demands. Bitner et al. (1994) explored the experiential aspects of IT services, including the role of user-friendly interfaces in improving customer perceptions. Tax and Brown (1998) examined how effective service recovery in IT contexts strengthens customer trust and loyalty. In another vein, Davis (1989) proposed the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which underscores the role of perceived ease of use and usefulness in shaping customer attitudes toward IT services.

Chen and Popovich (2003) analyzed how CRM systems integrate IT and relationship management to improve service quality and client satisfaction. DeLone and McLean (2003) offered the Information Systems Success Model, highlighting that system quality, service quality, and information quality collectively determine user satisfaction. Vargo and Lusch (2004) argued for a service-dominant logic in IT services, emphasizing co-creation of value through collaboration. Grönroos (2006) emphasized relational benefits, such as improved trust and reduced uncertainty, resulting from quality IT services.

Grover and Kohli (2012) highlighted the role of big data in improving IT service customization and decision-making. Prahalad and Ramaswamy (2004) explored the concept of personalized value co-creation in IT services, focusing on customer engagement. Sohal

and Fitzpatrick (2002) identified benchmarking as a critical strategy for enhancing IT service quality. Bharadwaj et al. (2013) examined the importance of agility in IT services to adapt to dynamic customer needs. Kettinger et al. (1995) provided a framework for measuring IT service quality, focusing on dimensions such as reliability, assurance, and empathy. Finally, Moon (2001) analyzed the impact of user satisfaction with IT services on long-term loyalty.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this research is to evaluate the impact of IT service quality on client retention rates across diverse industries, including Banking and Financial Services, Retail and E-commerce, Telecommunications, Healthcare, and Hospitality. By examining the critical dimensions of IT service quality, such as reliability, responsiveness, customization, communication, and security, the study aims to identify industry-specific strengths and gaps that influence client satisfaction and loyalty. This analysis provides actionable insights for improving IT service delivery to foster long-term client relationships.

A key objective is to explore the variability in perceptions of IT service quality across industries, as revealed by significant differences in dimensions like reliability, response time, and communication. For example, Healthcare and Banking exhibit higher satisfaction levels in these areas compared to Retail and E-commerce, highlighting the need for tailored approaches in lagging sectors. By focusing on these discrepancies, the research seeks to uncover the factors contributing to differential client retention rates.

Another critical objective is to assess the role of foundational IT service aspects, such as uptime and data security, which were uniformly rated across industries. Understanding how these baseline standards contribute to overall satisfaction helps in establishing consistent service benchmarks.

Additionally, this study aims to provide recommendations for IT service providers to align their offerings with the unique needs of various industries. Through targeted improvements in dimensions like customization and communication, particularly in underperforming sectors, the research aspires to bridge the gap between client expectations and service delivery, ultimately enhancing retention rates and competitive advantage.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a quantitative research approach to examine the impact of IT service quality on client retention rates across diverse industries. Data was collected through a structured survey administered to 300 respondents representing industries such as Banking and Financial Services, Retail and E-commerce, Telecommunications, Healthcare, and Hospitality. The survey utilized Likert-scale questions to assess critical dimensions of IT service quality, including reliability, responsiveness, customization, communication, and security. This standardized format enabled a consistent evaluation of perceptions across sectors.

The research employed statistical tools to analyze the collected data. Descriptive statistics provided an overview of industry-specific responses, highlighting general trends in satisfaction and retention rates. To assess variability in perceptions across industries, ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) was conducted, revealing significant differences in dimensions such as reliability, response time, and communication. Tukey HSD post-hoc tests were applied to identify specific pairwise differences among industries, further refining the analysis of sectoral performance.

The study also evaluated foundational aspects of IT service quality, such as uptime and data security, through comparative analysis, revealing uniform satisfaction levels across industries. These findings established baseline performance benchmarks that complement the deeper insights into differential perceptions.

The methodology emphasizes rigorous data handling to ensure reliability and validity. Cronbach's Alpha was calculated to assess the internal consistency of survey items, achieving a satisfactory reliability score. Statistical analyses were performed using software tools to ensure precision and accuracy in interpreting results.

Overall, the research methodology combines a robust survey design with advanced statistical analyses to provide a comprehensive understanding of IT service quality's impact on client retention. This approach ensures actionable insights for IT service providers seeking to optimize their offerings and address industry-specific gaps in service delivery.

Table 1: Descriptive Analysis in reference to different industries

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Hospitality and Tourism	46	15.3	15.3	15.3
	Telecommunications	53	17.7	17.7	33.0
	Healthcare	37	12.3	12.3	45.3
	Banking and Financial Services	86	28.7	28.7	74.0
	Retail and E-commerce	78	26.0	26.0	100.0
	Total	300	100.0	100.0	

Interpretation: The **Banking and Financial Services** sector holds the highest representation in the dataset, with a frequency of 86 and accounting for 28.7% of the total sample. This finding underscores the sector's heavy reliance on IT services for client retention. Key factors such as the critical need for data security, personalized financial solutions, and 24/7 service availability make IT service quality indispensable for fostering long-term client relationships.

The **Retail and E-commerce** industry follows closely, with a frequency of 78, representing 26.0% of the dataset. This high representation reflects the sector's growing dependence on IT services for personalized customer experiences, seamless online shopping, and effective loyalty programs. Superior IT service quality is essential for maintaining a competitive edge in this fast-evolving industry.

In the **Telecommunications** sector, the dataset records a frequency of 53, accounting for 17.7% of the total. The industry's reliance on IT services centers on maintaining robust customer support, ensuring network reliability, and offering prompt issue resolution. These factors are pivotal in directly influencing customer satisfaction and retention rates.

The **Hospitality and Tourism** industry accounts for a frequency of 46, or 15.3% of the dataset. Although smaller in representation, this sector critically depends on IT services for

managing online bookings, loyalty programs, and customer feedback. High-quality IT services in this domain significantly enhance guest experiences and promote loyalty.

The **Healthcare** sector is the least represented, with a frequency of 37, making up 12.3% of the dataset. Despite its smaller share, the industry's reliance on IT services for patient portals, telemedicine, and data security is crucial for building trust and retaining patients.

A cumulative 74% of the dataset is dominated by the **Banking and Financial Services** and **Retail and E-commerce** sectors, highlighting their intensive reliance on IT service quality. The remaining 26% comprises the **Telecommunications, Hospitality and Tourism,** and **Healthcare** industries, indicating a broader but less concentrated dependence on IT services.

The analysis reveals that while IT service quality is vital across all industries, its impact is most pronounced in Banking, Retail, and Telecommunications, where customer expectations for seamless, secure, and efficient services are highest. These insights can guide tailored strategies for enhancing IT service delivery in industry-specific contexts to improve client retention rates.

Table 2: Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.787	.789	10

Interpretation: The reliability of the scale used to measure the impact of IT service quality on client retention rates across industries is assessed using **Cronbach's Alpha**, a widely accepted measure of internal consistency. The Cronbach's Alpha value for the dataset is **0.787**, with a slightly higher value of **0.789** when based on standardized items. These results are calculated across **10 items** that collectively evaluate various dimensions of IT service quality.

A Cronbach's Alpha value between 0.7 and 0.8 is generally considered acceptable and indicates good reliability. The value of 0.787 suggests that the items in the scale are consistent and capable of reliably capturing the constructs being measured. In this context, the constructs likely include key aspects of IT service quality such as reliability, responsiveness, security, customization, and communication, which are critical for influencing client retention.

The slight improvement in reliability when based on standardized items (0.789) indicates that the scale's consistency improves marginally when adjustments are made for differences in the variance of individual items. This suggests that the items are fairly well-balanced and contribute equally to the overall measurement.

The reliability statistics highlight that the survey instrument used for this research is robust and can effectively measure the relationship between IT service quality and client retention rates. This strong internal consistency ensures that the findings derived from this dataset are credible and reflect the true nature of the relationship being studied. Industries can leverage these insights to prioritize the dimensions of IT service quality that consistently impact client satisfaction and retention, ultimately enabling them to design targeted strategies for enhancing customer loyalty.

Table 3: ANOVA TEST

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
The IT services provided to my organization are consistently reliable and meet our expectations.	Between Groups	54.301	4	13.575	45.832	.000
	Within Groups	87.379	295	.296		
	Total	141.680	299			
The uptime and availability of IT services have been sufficient to support our operations effectively.	Between Groups	1.430	4	.358	.860	.488
	Within Groups	122.606	295	.416		
	Total	124.037	299			
The IT service provider addresses technical issues promptly and efficiently.	Between Groups	1.496	4	.374	.908	.460
	Within Groups	121.584	295	.412		
	Total	123.080	299			
I am satisfied with the response time of the IT service provider's customer support team.	Between Groups	44.940	4	11.235	24.432	.000
	Within Groups	135.657	295	.460		
	Total	180.597	299			
The IT services we receive are tailored to meet the specific needs of our industry.	Between Groups	23.697	4	5.924	11.400	.000
	Within Groups	153.299	295	.520		
	Total	176.997	299			
The IT service provider offers flexible solutions that adapt to our changing business requirements.	Between Groups	1.393	4	.348	.757	.554
	Within Groups	135.737	295	.460		
	Total	137.130	299			
The IT service provider ensures the	Between Groups	1.408	4	.352	.759	.553

security and confidentiality of our data.	Within Groups	136.779	295	.464		
	Total	138.187	299			
I feel confident in the provider's ability to protect our organization from cybersecurity threats.	Between Groups	2.275	4	.569	1.119	.348
	Within Groups	149.912	295	.508		
	Total	152.187	299			
The IT service provider maintains clear and regular communication about service updates and issues.	Between Groups	106.644	4	26.661	149.686	.000
	Within Groups	52.543	295	.178		
	Total	159.187	299			
The level of engagement and collaboration with the IT service provider has positively influenced our decision to continue the partnership.	Between Groups	4.337	4	1.084	1.933	.105
	Within Groups	165.460	295	.561		
	Total	169.797	299			

Interpretation: The cumulative analysis of the ANOVA results for the topic "Impact of IT Service Quality on Client Retention Rates Across Industries" reveals critical insights into the dimensions of IT service quality and their varying impact across industries. Significant differences are observed in perceptions of **reliability**, **response time**, **customization**, and **communication**, indicating these factors play a pivotal role in influencing client retention. For instance, the perception of reliability, with a significant p-value of 0.000, shows considerable variation across industries, emphasizing its importance as a differentiator for retaining clients. Similarly, satisfaction with response time also varies significantly (p-value: 0.000), suggesting that some industries perceive delays or inefficiencies in service as a barrier to loyalty.

Customization of IT services emerges as another critical dimension, with a significant p-value of 0.000, reflecting varying levels of satisfaction among industries. Tailoring services to meet industry-specific needs is shown to be a significant driver of retention, as clients value solutions that align with their unique operational requirements. Communication also demonstrates major variability, with a highly significant p-value of 0.000. Clear, regular updates and effective communication are integral to maintaining client trust and fostering long-term partnerships.

Conversely, certain dimensions such as **uptime**, **flexibility**, **security**, and **confidence in cybersecurity** show no significant differences across industries, with p-values above 0.05. This uniformity indicates consistent performance in these areas, suggesting that IT providers are meeting baseline expectations. These findings imply that while foundational services are adequately addressed, providers need to focus on enhancing differentiating factors like responsiveness, customization, and communication.

In conclusion, the results underscore the need for industry-specific strategies to address areas of significant variability while maintaining consistent quality in foundational services. This balanced approach can optimize IT service delivery, enhance client satisfaction, and ultimately improve retention rates across industries.

Table 4: POST Hoc test (Multiple Comparisons)

Tukey HSD							
Dependent Variable	(I) Industries	(J) Industries	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
The IT services provided to my organization are consistently reliable and meet our expectations.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	-.067	.110	.974	-.37	.23
		Healthcare	.849*	.120	.000	.52	1.18
		Banking and Financial Services	.030	.099	.998	-.24	.30
		Retail and E-commerce	-.601*	.101	.000	-.88	-.32
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	.067	.110	.974	-.23	.37
		Healthcare	.916*	.117	.000	.60	1.24
		Banking and Financial Services	.097	.095	.845	-.16	.36
		Retail and E-commerce	-.535*	.097	.000	-.80	-.27
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.849*	.120	.000	-1.18	-.52

		Telecommunications	-.916*	.117	.000	-1.24	-.60
		Banking and Financial Services	-.819*	.107	.000	-1.11	-.52
		Retail and E-commerce	-1.450*	.109	.000	-1.75	-1.15
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.030	.099	.998	-.30	.24
		Telecommunications	-.097	.095	.845	-.36	.16
		Healthcare	.819*	.107	.000	.52	1.11
		Retail and E-commerce	-.632*	.085	.000	-.87	-.40
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.601*	.101	.000	.32	.88
		Telecommunications	.535*	.097	.000	.27	.80
		Healthcare	1.450*	.109	.000	1.15	1.75
		Banking and Financial Services	.632*	.085	.000	.40	.87
The uptime and availability of IT services have been sufficient to support our operations effectively.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.047	.130	.996	-.31	.40
		Healthcare	.149	.142	.835	-.24	.54
		Banking and Financial Services	.128	.118	.814	-.20	.45
		Retail and E-commerce	-.026	.120	1.000	-.35	.30
	Telecommunicati	Hospitality and Tourism	-.047	.130	.996	-.40	.31

	ons	Healthcare	.101	.138	.948	-.28	.48
		Banking and Financial Services	.081	.113	.952	-.23	.39
		Retail and E-commerce	-.073	.115	.969	-.39	.24
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.149	.142	.835	-.54	.24
		Telecommunications	-.101	.138	.948	-.48	.28
		Banking and Financial Services	-.021	.127	1.000	-.37	.33
		Retail and E-commerce	-.174	.129	.657	-.53	.18
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.128	.118	.814	-.45	.20
		Telecommunications	-.081	.113	.952	-.39	.23
		Healthcare	.021	.127	1.000	-.33	.37
		Retail and E-commerce	-.154	.101	.548	-.43	.12
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.026	.120	1.000	-.30	.35
		Telecommunications	.073	.115	.969	-.24	.39
		Healthcare	.174	.129	.657	-.18	.53
		Banking and Financial Services	.154	.101	.548	-.12	.43
The IT service provider	Hospitality and	Telecommunications	.044	.129	.997	-.31	.40

addresses technical issues promptly and efficiently.	Tourism	Healthcare	.100	.142	.955	-.29	.49
		Banking and Financial Services	.153	.117	.690	-.17	.47
		Retail and E-commerce	-.022	.119	1.000	-.35	.31
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.044	.129	.997	-.40	.31
		Healthcare	.056	.138	.994	-.32	.43
		Banking and Financial Services	.108	.112	.870	-.20	.42
		Retail and E-commerce	-.066	.114	.978	-.38	.25
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.100	.142	.955	-.49	.29
		Telecommunications	-.056	.138	.994	-.43	.32
		Banking and Financial Services	.053	.126	.994	-.29	.40
		Retail and E-commerce	-.122	.128	.877	-.47	.23
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.153	.117	.690	-.47	.17
		Telecommunications	-.108	.112	.870	-.42	.20
		Healthcare	-.053	.126	.994	-.40	.29
		Retail and E-commerce	-.174	.100	.413	-.45	.10

	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.022	.119	1.000	-.31	.35
		Telecommunications	.066	.114	.978	-.25	.38
		Healthcare	.122	.128	.877	-.23	.47
		Banking and Financial Services	.174	.100	.413	-.10	.45
I am satisfied with the response time of the IT service provider's customer support team.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.072	.137	.984	-.30	.45
		Healthcare	.828*	.150	.000	.42	1.24
		Banking and Financial Services	-.076	.124	.972	-.42	.26
		Retail and E-commerce	-.496*	.126	.001	-.84	-.15
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.072	.137	.984	-.45	.30
		Healthcare	.756*	.145	.000	.36	1.15
		Banking and Financial Services	-.149	.118	.719	-.47	.18
		Retail and E-commerce	-.568*	.121	.000	-.90	-.24
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.828*	.150	.000	-1.24	-.42
		Telecommunications	-.756*	.145	.000	-1.15	-.36
		Banking and Financial Services	-.905*	.133	.000	-1.27	-.54

		Retail and E-commerce	-1.324*	.135	.000	-1.70	-.95
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	.076	.124	.972	-.26	.42
		Telecommunications	.149	.118	.719	-.18	.47
		Healthcare	.905*	.133	.000	.54	1.27
		Retail and E-commerce	-.419*	.106	.001	-.71	-.13
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.496*	.126	.001	.15	.84
		Telecommunications	.568*	.121	.000	.24	.90
		Healthcare	1.324*	.135	.000	.95	1.70
		Banking and Financial Services	.419*	.106	.001	.13	.71
The IT services we receive are tailored to meet the specific needs of our industry.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.062	.145	.993	-.34	.46
		Healthcare	.623*	.159	.001	.19	1.06
		Banking and Financial Services	-.034	.132	.999	-.40	.33
		Retail and E-commerce	-.341	.134	.084	-.71	.03
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.062	.145	.993	-.46	.34
		Healthcare	.561*	.154	.003	.14	.99
		Banking and Financial Services	-.096	.126	.940	-.44	.25

		Retail and E-commerce	-.403*	.128	.016	-.75	-.05
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.623*	.159	.001	-1.06	-.19
		Telecommunications	-.561*	.154	.003	-.99	-.14
		Banking and Financial Services	-.658*	.142	.000	-1.05	-.27
		Retail and E-commerce	-.964*	.144	.000	-1.36	-.57
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	.034	.132	.999	-.33	.40
		Telecommunications	.096	.126	.940	-.25	.44
		Healthcare	.658*	.142	.000	.27	1.05
		Retail and E-commerce	-.306	.113	.054	-.62	.00
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.341	.134	.084	-.03	.71
		Telecommunications	.403*	.128	.016	.05	.75
		Healthcare	.964*	.144	.000	.57	1.36
		Banking and Financial Services	.306	.113	.054	.00	.62
The IT service provider offers flexible solutions that adapt to our changing business	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.135	.137	.862	-.24	.51
		Healthcare	.142	.150	.877	-.27	.55
		Banking and Financial Services	-.021	.124	1.000	-.36	.32

requirements.		Retail and E-commerce	-.003	.126	1.000	-.35	.34
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.135	.137	.862	-.51	.24
		Healthcare	.008	.145	1.000	-.39	.41
		Banking and Financial Services	-.156	.118	.682	-.48	.17
		Retail and E-commerce	-.138	.121	.784	-.47	.19
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.142	.150	.877	-.55	.27
		Telecommunications	-.008	.145	1.000	-.41	.39
		Banking and Financial Services	-.163	.133	.737	-.53	.20
		Retail and E-commerce	-.146	.135	.819	-.52	.23
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	.021	.124	1.000	-.32	.36
		Telecommunications	.156	.118	.682	-.17	.48
		Healthcare	.163	.133	.737	-.20	.53
		Retail and E-commerce	.018	.106	1.000	-.27	.31
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.003	.126	1.000	-.34	.35
		Telecommunications	.138	.121	.784	-.19	.47
		Healthcare	.146	.135	.819	-.23	.52

		Banking and Financial Services	-.018	.106	1.000	-.31	.27
The IT service provider ensures the security and confidentiality of our data.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.103	.137	.945	-.27	.48
		Healthcare	.213	.150	.619	-.20	.63
		Banking and Financial Services	.011	.124	1.000	-.33	.35
		Retail and E-commerce	.027	.127	1.000	-.32	.37
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.103	.137	.945	-.48	.27
		Healthcare	.110	.146	.943	-.29	.51
		Banking and Financial Services	-.092	.119	.938	-.42	.23
		Retail and E-commerce	-.075	.121	.972	-.41	.26
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.213	.150	.619	-.63	.20
		Telecommunications	-.110	.146	.943	-.51	.29
		Banking and Financial Services	-.202	.134	.557	-.57	.17
		Retail and E-commerce	-.185	.136	.651	-.56	.19
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.011	.124	1.000	-.35	.33
		Telecommunications	.092	.119	.938	-.23	.42
		Healthcare	.202	.134	.557	-.17	.57

		Retail and E-commerce	.017	.106	1.000	-.28	.31
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	-.027	.127	1.000	-.37	.32
		Telecommunications	.075	.121	.972	-.26	.41
		Healthcare	.185	.136	.651	-.19	.56
		Banking and Financial Services	-.017	.106	1.000	-.31	.28
I feel confident in the provider's ability to protect our organization from cybersecurity threats.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.030	.144	1.000	-.36	.42
		Healthcare	.099	.157	.970	-.33	.53
		Banking and Financial Services	.056	.130	.993	-.30	.41
		Retail and E-commerce	-.143	.133	.816	-.51	.22
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.030	.144	1.000	-.42	.36
		Healthcare	.069	.153	.991	-.35	.49
		Banking and Financial Services	.026	.124	1.000	-.32	.37
		Retail and E-commerce	-.173	.127	.651	-.52	.18
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-.099	.157	.970	-.53	.33
		Telecommunications	-.069	.153	.991	-.49	.35
		Banking and Financial Services	-.044	.140	.998	-.43	.34

		Retail and E-commerce	-.243	.142	.433	-.63	.15
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.056	.130	.993	-.41	.30
		Telecommunications	-.026	.124	1.000	-.37	.32
		Healthcare	.044	.140	.998	-.34	.43
		Retail and E-commerce	-.199	.111	.385	-.50	.11
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.143	.133	.816	-.22	.51
		Telecommunications	.173	.127	.651	-.18	.52
		Healthcare	.243	.142	.433	-.15	.63
		Banking and Financial Services	.199	.111	.385	-.11	.50
The IT service provider maintains clear and regular communication about service updates and issues.	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.010	.085	1.000	-.22	.24
		Healthcare	1.217*	.093	.000	.96	1.47
		Banking and Financial Services	.217*	.077	.041	.01	.43
		Retail and E-commerce	-.783*	.078	.000	-1.00	-.57
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.010	.085	1.000	-.24	.22
		Healthcare	1.208*	.090	.000	.96	1.46
		Banking and Financial Services	.208*	.074	.041	.01	.41

		Retail and E-commerce	-.792*	.075	.000	-1.00	-.59
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	-1.217*	.093	.000	-1.47	-.96
		Telecommunications	-1.208*	.090	.000	-1.46	-.96
		Banking and Financial Services	-1.000*	.083	.000	-1.23	-.77
		Retail and E-commerce	-2.000*	.084	.000	-2.23	-1.77
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.217*	.077	.041	-.43	-.01
		Telecommunications	-.208*	.074	.041	-.41	-.01
		Healthcare	1.000*	.083	.000	.77	1.23
		Retail and E-commerce	-1.000*	.066	.000	-1.18	-.82
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.783*	.078	.000	.57	1.00
		Telecommunications	.792*	.075	.000	.59	1.00
		Healthcare	2.000*	.084	.000	1.77	2.23
		Banking and Financial Services	1.000*	.066	.000	.82	1.18
The level of engagement and collaboration with the IT service provider has	Hospitality and Tourism	Telecommunications	.136	.151	.897	-.28	.55
		Healthcare	-.030	.165	1.000	-.48	.42
		Banking and Financial Services	.212	.137	.529	-.16	.59

positively influenced our decision to continue the partnership.		Retail and E-commerce	-.082	.139	.977	-.46	.30
	Telecommunications	Hospitality and Tourism	-.136	.151	.897	-.55	.28
		Healthcare	-.166	.160	.840	-.61	.27
		Banking and Financial Services	.077	.131	.977	-.28	.44
		Retail and E-commerce	-.218	.133	.477	-.58	.15
	Healthcare	Hospitality and Tourism	.030	.165	1.000	-.42	.48
		Telecommunications	.166	.160	.840	-.27	.61
		Banking and Financial Services	.242	.147	.470	-.16	.65
		Retail and E-commerce	-.052	.149	.997	-.46	.36
	Banking and Financial Services	Hospitality and Tourism	-.212	.137	.529	-.59	.16
		Telecommunications	-.077	.131	.977	-.44	.28
		Healthcare	-.242	.147	.470	-.65	.16
		Retail and E-commerce	-.294	.117	.090	-.62	.03
	Retail and E-commerce	Hospitality and Tourism	.082	.139	.977	-.30	.46
		Telecommunications	.218	.133	.477	-.15	.58
		Healthcare	.052	.149	.997	-.36	.46

		Banking and Financial Services	.294	.117	.090	-.03	.62

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Interpretation:

Reliability of IT Services:

- **Healthcare vs. Hospitality and Tourism (-0.849, p = 0.000)**: Healthcare perceives IT services as significantly more reliable than Hospitality and Tourism.
- **Healthcare vs. Retail and E-commerce (-1.450, p = 0.000)**: Healthcare rates IT service reliability higher than Retail and E-commerce.
- **Retail and E-commerce vs. Hospitality and Tourism (0.601, p = 0.000)**: Retail perceives services as significantly less reliable than Hospitality and Tourism.

Comparisons between Telecommunications, Banking and Financial Services, and other industries show no significant differences, suggesting similar perceptions.Healthcare and Hospitality consistently rate IT services higher in reliability compared to Retail, highlighting a gap in service quality that Retail providers must address.

Uptime and Availability

Across all industries, no significant differences are observed (p-values > 0.05). Perceptions of uptime and availability are consistent across industries, indicating that IT providers meet basic expectations uniformly.

Responsiveness to Technical Issues

All pairwise comparisons show no significant differences (p-values > 0.05). Prompt issue resolution is viewed uniformly across industries, reflecting a consistent standard of service delivery.

Response Time of Support Teams

- **Healthcare vs. Hospitality and Tourism (-0.828, p = 0.000)**: Healthcare perceives better response times.
- **Retail and E-commerce vs. Hospitality and Tourism (0.496, p = 0.001)**: Retail rates response times lower than Hospitality.
- **Retail and E-commerce vs. Healthcare (-1.324, p = 0.000)**: Retail perceives significantly poorer response times compared to Healthcare.

Healthcare reports the highest satisfaction with response times, whereas Retail lags, requiring improvements in this area to enhance client retention.

Customization of IT Services

- **Healthcare vs. Retail and E-commerce (-0.964, p = 0.000)**: Healthcare rates IT services as more tailored than Retail.
- **Retail and E-commerce vs. Telecommunications (0.403, p = 0.016)**: Retail perceives less customization compared to Telecommunications.

Comparisons involving Banking and Financial Services are not significant. Customization is a key differentiator for Healthcare and Telecommunications, while Retail struggles to meet expectations.

Flexibility of IT Solutions

Across all industries, no significant differences are observed (p-values > 0.05). Flexibility is perceived uniformly across industries, indicating a baseline standard of adaptability.

Data Security and Confidentiality

All pairwise comparisons show no significant differences (p-values > 0.05). Perceptions of data security are consistent, reflecting a universally satisfactory level of performance.

Communication and Engagement

- **Healthcare vs. Hospitality and Tourism (-1.217, p = 0.000)**: Healthcare views communication as significantly better.
- **Retail and E-commerce vs. Healthcare (-2.000, p = 0.000)**: Retail reports the poorest communication compared to Healthcare.
- **Banking and Financial Services vs. Retail (1.000, p = 0.000)**: Banking rates communication higher than Retail.

Effective communication is a standout strength for Healthcare and Banking, whereas Retail needs substantial improvements.

The analysis reveals that **Healthcare** consistently rates IT services higher in critical areas like **reliability**, **response time**, **customization**, and **communication**. Conversely, **Retail and E-commerce** frequently report lower satisfaction, highlighting areas for improvement. Non-significant results in dimensions like **uptime**, **issue resolution**, and **data security** indicate uniform perceptions across industries, suggesting that providers meet baseline expectations in these areas.

By addressing the disparities in critical dimensions such as customization, response time, and communication, particularly in Retail, IT providers can enhance client satisfaction and retention across all industries.

IMPLICATIONS

The findings of this study have significant implications for IT service providers aiming to enhance client retention across various industries. The analysis revealed that dimensions such as reliability, responsiveness, and communication are critical in influencing client satisfaction, with considerable variability observed across sectors. For instance, Healthcare and Banking outperformed Retail and E-commerce in these areas, highlighting the need for tailored strategies to address industry-specific gaps. Providers in underperforming sectors can enhance client retention by prioritizing improvements in response time, customization, and communication.

The uniform satisfaction with foundational aspects like uptime and data security indicates that these are baseline expectations, which providers must consistently meet to maintain trust. However, exceeding client expectations in advanced dimensions such as personalization and engagement can create a competitive edge. IT service providers should leverage insights from data analytics and CRM tools to deliver tailored solutions that align with unique client needs.

Additionally, the significant differences in perceptions of IT service quality among industries underscore the importance of strategic alignment with sector-specific demands. For example, Telecommunications and Healthcare, which prioritize scalability and reliability, can serve as models for other industries seeking to improve retention through consistent service delivery.

By addressing gaps in service quality dimensions and fostering stronger client relationships, IT providers can not only improve satisfaction but also enhance their market positioning. The study emphasizes the need for continuous assessment of service performance and a proactive approach to innovation in IT solutions, ensuring long-term client loyalty and competitive advantage.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that IT service quality plays a vital role in determining client retention rates across industries. Key dimensions such as reliability, responsiveness, customization, and communication significantly influence satisfaction levels, with variations observed across sectors like Healthcare, Banking, Retail, and Telecommunications. The findings highlight that while foundational aspects like uptime and data security are uniformly satisfactory, advanced dimensions require tailored strategies to meet industry-specific expectations.

Healthcare and Banking emerged as leaders in IT service quality, reflecting superior performance in reliability, customization, and response times. In contrast, Retail and E-commerce reported lower satisfaction levels, particularly in communication and responsiveness, indicating areas for improvement. These disparities underline the necessity of aligning IT service offerings with the unique needs and expectations of different industries.

The results emphasize the importance of leveraging data analytics, CRM tools, and innovative IT solutions to enhance service quality and address gaps. Continuous assessment and improvement in service delivery can strengthen client relationships, ensuring long-term loyalty and retention. The study also underscores the value of setting industry-specific benchmarks for IT service quality, providing a framework for providers to measure and enhance performance.

In conclusion, the impact of IT service quality on client retention is multifaceted, requiring a strategic, client-centric approach. By addressing identified gaps and leveraging sector-specific insights, IT service providers can achieve higher retention rates, foster client trust, and maintain a competitive edge in a rapidly evolving technological landscape.

REFERENCES

1. Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). "The behavioral consequences of service quality." *Journal of Marketing*, 60(2), 31–46.
2. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). "SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality." *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1), 12–40.
3. Rust, R. T., & Oliver, R. L. (1994). "Service quality: Insights and managerial implications from the frontier." *Service Quality: New Directions in Theory and Practice*.
4. Grönroos, C. (2001). "Service management and marketing: A customer relationship management approach." Wiley.

5. Bitner, M. J., Booms, B. H., & Tetreault, M. S. (1990). "The service encounter: Diagnosing favorable and unfavorable incidents." *Journal of Marketing*, 54(1), 71–84.
6. Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). "The behavioral consequences of service quality."
7. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). "SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality."
8. Rust, R. T., & Oliver, R. L. (1994). "Service quality: Insights and managerial implications from the frontier."
9. Grönroos, C. (2001). "Service management and marketing."
10. Bitner, M. J., Booms, B. H., & Tetreault, M. S. (1990). "The service encounter."
11. Anderson, R. E., & Srinivasan, S. S. (2003). "E-satisfaction and e-loyalty."
12. Heskett, J. L., Jones, T. O., Loveman, G. W., & Sasser, W. E. Jr. (1994). "Putting the service-profit chain to work."
13. Chaffey, D., Hemphill, T., & Edmundson-Bird, D. (2019). "Digital marketing."
14. Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). "Working knowledge."
15. Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2012). "Marketing management."
16. Zeithaml, V. A., & Bitner, M. J. (2000). "Services Marketing."
17. Rust, R. T., & Chung, T. S. (2006). "Marketing Models of Service and Relationships."
18. Chaffey, D., Hemphill, T., & Edmundson-Bird, D. (2017). "Digital Marketing."
19. Davenport, T. H., & Harris, J. G. (2007). "Competing on Analytics."
20. Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). "Marketing Management."
21. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1991). "Refinement and Reassessment of the SERVQUAL Scale."
22. Rust, R. T., & Oliver, R. L. (1994). "Service Quality."
23. Grönroos, C. (1998). "Marketing Services."
24. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). "Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management."
25. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2004). "Strategy Maps."
26. Heskett, J. L., Jones, T. O., Loveman, G. W., Sasser, W. E. Jr. (2003). "The Service Profit Chain."
27. Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). "The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing."
28. Gulati, R. (1999). "Network Location and Learning."
29. Lovelock, C., & Wirtz, J. (2007). "Services Marketing."
30. Barney, J. (1991). "Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage."
31. Hill, C. W., & Jones, G. R. (2013). "Strategic Management."

32. Boehm, B. W. (1991). "Software Risk Management."
33. Cronin, J. J., & Taylor, S. A. (1992). "Measuring Service Quality."
34. Schneider, B., & Bowen, D. E. (1995). "Winning the Service Game."
35. Peppers, D., & Rogers, M. (1993). "The One to One Future."
36. Fitzsimmons, J. A., & Fitzsimmons, M. J. (2001). "Service Management: Operations, Strategy, and Information Technology."
37. Oliver, R. L. (1999). "Whence consumer loyalty?"
38. Reichheld, F. F., & Schefter, P. (2000). "E-loyalty: Your secret weapon on the web."
39. Johnston, R. (1995). "The determinants of service quality: Satisfiers and dissatisfiers."
40. Lovelock, C., & Wirtz, J. (2007). "Services Marketing: People, Technology, Strategy."
41. Leonard-Barton, D. (1992). "Core capabilities and core rigidities."
42. Bharadwaj, A. S. (2000). "A resource-based perspective on IT capability."
43. Bitner, M. J., Booms, B. H., & Mohr, L. A. (1994). "Critical service encounters."
44. Tax, S. S., & Brown, S. W. (1998). "Recovering and learning from service failure."
45. Davis, F. D. (1989). "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology."
46. Chen, I. J., & Popovich, K. (2003). "Understanding CRM: People, process, and technology."
47. DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2003). "The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success."
48. Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). "Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing."
49. Grönroos, C. (2006). "Service logic revisited."
50. Grover, V., & Kohli, R. (2012). "Cocreating IT value."
51. Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). "Co-creating unique value with customers."
52. Sohal, A. S., & Fitzpatrick, P. (2002). "Benchmarking in the service sector."
53. Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2013). "Digital business strategy."
54. Kettinger, W. J., & Lee, C. C. (1995). "Perceived service quality and user satisfaction with the information services function."
55. Moon, J. W. (2001). "Understanding the impact of user satisfaction on loyalty in IT services."